1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	HANSON BRIDGETT LLP RAFFI V. ZEROUNIAN, SBN 236388 rzerounian@hansonbridgett.com JUSTIN P. THIELE, SBN 311787 Jthiele@hansonbridgett.com 601 W. 5th Street, 3rd Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 395-7620 GARNER K. WENG, SBN 191462 gweng@hansonbridgett.com 425 Market Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 777-3200 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim- Defendant LYMI Inc.					
10						
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
12	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION					
13						
14	LYMI Inc.,	Case No. 2:24-cv-4564-GW-RAO				
15	Plaintiff,	Joint Stipulation to Modify Scheduling Order				
16	V.	Judge: Hon. George H. Wu				
17	Few Moda, Inc.,					
18	Defendant.					
19	Few Moda, Inc.,					
20	Counterclaimant,					
21						
22	V.					
23	LYMI Inc.,					
24	Counterclaim-Defendant.					
25						
26	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4) and the Court's December 18, 2024					

28

Scheduling Order (Dkt. No. 21), the Parties jointly stipulate and agree that the Court

enter an order modifying the Scheduling Order to continue certain dates, as follows:

- 1 2
- 3 4
- 5 6

7

- 8 9 10
- 11 12
- 13 14
- 15 16
- 17 18
- 19 20
- 21 22
- 24

23

- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- In the operative Scheduling Order, the Court set certain deadlines for 1. trial, discovery, and related motions. Dkt. No. 21.
- 2. The Parties previously stipulated to modify the Scheduling Order to allow Defendant and Counterclaimant Few Moda, Inc. ("Few Moda") to file a corrected Amended Answer and Counterclaim, which the Court granted. Dkt. Nos. 35-37.
- 3. Since the Court entered the Scheduling Order and discovery opened in this matter, the Parties have exchanged discovery requests. In particular, Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant LYMI Inc. ("Reformation") served interrogatories and requests for production on January 17, 2025; Few Moda timely served written responses on February 18, 2025, which included materials concerning Few Moda's sales of the allegedly infringing items; and the Parties have met and conferred regarding Few Moda's responses and document production. Few Moda served interrogatories and requests for production on March 4, 2025.
- The Parties have previously discussed settlement prior to the opening of the discovery in this case. The Parties have agreed to mediate at this juncture and are in the process of selecting a mediator and the date and location for mediation. The Parties anticipate engaging in mediation by the Court's existing June 9, 2025 deadline.
- Under the current case schedule, the current close of fact discovery is 5. June 20, 2025, and the current close of expert discovery is July 18, 2025. The Court's order directed the Parties to meet and agree on the dates for exchange of initial and rebuttal expert reports. The Parties have met and conferred on these dates and assessed that the existing deadlines create difficulties with dates for the expert reports relative to the Parties' plans for mediation, the close of fact discovery, and the close of expert discovery.
- The Parties agree that good cause exists for a 60-day continuance of 6. certain dates in the Court's Scheduling Order because such a continuance will

- 7. Aside from the Parties' previous stipulation to allow Few Moda's corrected re-filing of its Amended Answer and Counterclaim, the Parties have not previously requested any modification of trial and pretrial dates in this case.
- 8. Accordingly, the Parties respectfully jointly stipulate and request that the Court modify certain dates in the Scheduling Order as follows:

Event	Current Date	Proposed Date
Regular discovery completed	June 20, 2025	August 19, 2025
Expert discovery completed	July 18, 2025	September 16, 2025
Last day Court to hear motions	August 18, 2025	October 20, 2025
other than motions in limine		
Pre-trial conference	September 18, 2025	November 20, 2025
	8:30am	8:30am
Trial	September 30, 2025	December 2, 2025

All other dates in the Court's December 18, 2024 Scheduling Order to remain in effect as originally set.

DATED: March 14, 2025 HANSON BRIDGETT LLP

By: /s/ Justin Thiele

RAFFI V. ZEROUNIAN

GARNER K. WENG

JUSTIN P. THIELE

Attorneys for Plaintiff and CounterclaimDefendant LYMI Inc.

Case	2:24-cv-04564-GW-RAO	Document 39 #:237	Filed 03/14/25	Page 4 of 5 Page ID	
1 2 3	DATED: March 14, 2024		FRIEDLAND C	IANFRANI LLP	
4		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{V}}$	· /a/ Iomotha	un Calain	
5	By: /s/ Jonathan Sabin Michael K. Friedland (SBN 157,217)				
6	michael.friedland@fciplaw.com				
7	David G. Kim (SBN 307821) david.kim@fciplaw.com				
8	FRIEDLAND CIANFRANI LLP				
9	17901 Von Karman Ave., Ste. 925 Irvine, CA 92614				
10			•		
11	KANE KESSLER, P.C. Adam M. Cohen, admitted pro hac vice				
12		Jonathan M. Sabin, admitted pro hac vice			
13		600 Third Avenue			
14		New York, NY 10016 212-541-6222			
	acohen@kanekessler.com				
15			jsabin@kanekess	sier.com	
16		Attorneys for Defendant and			
17			Counterclaimani		
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
			4		

Joint Stipulation to Modify Scheduling Order

21628547.1

Multiple Signature Attestation

Pursuant to Local Rule 5-4.3.4(a)(2)(i), I attest that all other signatories listed, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the content of this stipulation and have authorized the filing of this stipulation.

5

6

2

3

4

DATED: March 14, 2025 HANSON BRIDGETT LLP

By:

7

8

1011

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

/s/ Justin Thiele RAFFI V. ZEROUNIAN

GARNER K. WENG JUSTIN P. THIELE

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant LYMI Inc.

-5